A bound on the primes of bad reduction for CM curves of genus 3

Pınar Kılıçer, Kristin Lauter, Elisa Lorenzo García, Rachel Newton,
Ekin Ozman* and Marco Streng

September 20, 2016

arXiv:1609.05826v1 [math.NT] 19 Sep 2016

Abstract

We give a bound on the primes of stable bad reduction for curves of genus three of primitive CM type in terms of the CM order. The genus one case follows from the fact that CM elliptic curves are CM abelian varieties which have potential good reduction everywhere. However, for genus at least two, the curve can have bad reduction at a prime although the Jacobian has potential good reduction. Goren and Lauter gave the first bound in the genus two case.

In the cases of hyperelliptic and Picard curves, our results imply bounds on primes appearing in the denominators of invariants and class polynomials, which are essential for algorithmic construction of curves with given characteristic polynomials over finite fields.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Applications, further work and open problems 3
1.2 Acknowledgements 4

2 Notation and strategy **4**

3 An embedding problem **4**

4 Bounds on the coefficients **6**

5 If the CM field contains an imaginary quadratic subfield **9**
5.1 Some facts about tangent spaces 10
5.2 The proof of Theorem 1.1 11

6 Geometry of numbers **12**

7 Invariants **13**

1 Introduction

Generating smooth, projective, irreducible curves over finite fields with a given number of points on the curve or on its Jacobian is a hard and interesting problem, with valuable applications and connections to number theory. The case of genus 1 curves, "elliptic curves", for example, has important applications in cryptography, and current solutions rely on computing the Hilbert Class Polynomial associated to imaginary quadratic fields. For genus 2 curves, already additional interesting problems arise when trying to compute the analogous class polynomials, "Igusa class polynomials", since the coefficients are not integral as in the genus 1 case. This leads to the question of understanding and bounding primes of bad reduction for CM genus 2 curves, and connections with arithmetic intersection theory ([6, 12]).

The genus 3 case is more complicated than the genus 2 case for several reasons: First, not all genus 3 curves have a hyperelliptic model, so the question of defining invariants is more difficult. Second, there

*Ozman is supported by the BAGEP award of the Science Academy, 2016

are more possible ways in which a curve can have bad reduction and there are more possible CM types, both of which require new ideas to handle. In this paper, we prove the following result which gives a bound on primes of stable bad reduction for CM genus 3 curves with primitive CM type.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C/M be a curve of genus 3 over a number field M. Suppose that the Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ has complex multiplication (CM) by an order $\mathcal{O}$ inside a CM field K of degree $2g = 6$ and that the CM type of C is primitive.*

Let p be a prime number such that C has stable bad reduction modulo a prime over p in a finite extension of M. Then the following upper bound holds on p. For every $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ with μ^2 totally real and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$, we have $p < \max\{4, B^{11}\}$ where $B = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we use the fact that bad reduction of C gives an embedding of the CM order $\mathcal{O}$ into the endomorphism ring of the reduced Jacobian such that the Rosati involution induces complex conjugation on $\mathcal{O}$ (see Lemma 4.4). We show that such an embedding cannot exist for sufficiently large primes, using the primitivity of the CM type in a crucial way (see Section 5). Primitivity of the CM type is equivalent to $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ being absolutely simple, as shown in [11, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.5]. If, for example, $\mathrm{Jac}(C) = E^3$ for an elliptic curve E, then [2, Proposition 6.13] shows that there exist orders in the CM field for which the aforementioned embedding problem can be solved for every prime. This example shows that primitivity is essential to the methods of [2] and of the current paper.

The following proposition turns the bound of Theorem 1.1 into an intrinsic bound, depending only on the discriminants of the orders involved.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ be an order in a sextic CM field.*

1. *If K contains no imaginary quadratic subfield, then there exists μ as in Theorem 1.1 satisfying $0 < -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq (\frac{6}{\pi})^{2/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/3}$.*
2. *If K contains an imaginary quadratic subfield K_1, let K_+ be the real cubic subfield and $\mathcal{O}_i = K_i \cap \mathcal{O}$ where $i \in \{1, +\}$. Then there exists μ as in Theorem 1.1 with $0 < -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq 3|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)||\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/2}$.*

As a consequence, we get the following. A hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 over a field M is a curve of the form $C : y^2 = F(x, 1)$ such that F is a separable binary form over M of degree 8. A hyperelliptic curve invariant of degree k for genus 3 is a polynomial I in the coefficients of F satisfying $I(F \circ A) = \det(A)^k I(F)$ for all $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$. For example, the discriminant Δ of F is an invariant of degree 56. Shioda [15] gives a set of invariants that determines the isomorphism class of C uniquely.

A Picard curve of genus 3 over a number field M is a smooth plane curve of the form $C : y^3 = f(x)$ such that f is a monic separable polynomial over M of degree 4. Such a curve can be written as

$$y^3 = x^4 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4 \tag{1}$$

uniquely up to scalings $(x, y) \mapsto (ux, u^{4/3}y)$, which change a_l into $u^l a_l$. We define the ring of invariants to be the graded ring generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ by the symbols a_2, a_3 and a_4. It contains the discriminant Δ of the polynomial on the right hand side of (1), which is an invariant of degree 12.

Theorem 1.3. *Let C/M be a hyperelliptic or Picard curve of genus 3 over a number field M. Suppose that C has CM by an order $\mathcal{O}$ inside a CM field K of degree $2g$ and that the CM type of C is primitive. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $j(C) = u/\Delta^l$ be a quotient of invariants of the hyperelliptic (respectively Picard) curve C, such that the numerator u has degree $56l$ (respectively $12l$).*

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime over a prime number p such that $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(C)) < 0$. Then p satisfies the bound of Theorem 1.1.

For example, the invariants $j_1 = a_2^6/\Delta$, $j_2 = a_3^2a_2^3/\Delta$ and $j_3 = a_4a_2^4/\Delta$ satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem for Picard curves. Furthermore, over an algebraic closure $\overline{M}$ of M, any Picard curve has a model in one of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&y^3 = x^4 + ax^2 + ax + b, && a = j_1j_2^{-1},\ b = j_1j_2^{-2}j_3 && \text{if } j_2 \neq 0,\\
&y^3 = x^4 + ax^2 + a, && a = j_1j_3^{-1} && \text{if } j_2 = 0,\ j_3 \neq 0,\\
&y^3 = x^4 + 1, && && \text{if } j_2 = j_3 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for hyperelliptic curves, the quotients of Shioda invariants $j_1 = I_2^7/\Delta$, $j_3 = I_2^5 I_4/\Delta$, $j_5 = I_2^4 I_6/\Delta$, $j_7 = I_2^3 I_8/\Delta$ and $j_9 = I_2^2 I_{10}/\Delta$ appearing in Weng [19, (5)] satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3.

Now suppose that K is a sextic CM field containing a primitive 4th root of unity and consider invariants of hyperelliptic curves. Alternatively, let K be a sextic CM field containing a primitive 3rd root of unity and consider invariants of Picard curves.

For j and j' quotients as above, we define the class polynomials H_j and $\widehat{H}_{j,j'}$ by

$$H_j = \prod_C (X - j(C)) \qquad \in \mathbb{C}[X],$$

$$\widehat{H}_{j,j'} = \sum_C j'(C) \prod_{D \not\cong C} (X - j(D)) \qquad \in \mathbb{C}[X],$$

where the products and sum range over isomorphism classes of curves C and D over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}$ of primitive CM type, which are indeed hyperelliptic (resp. Picard) by Weng [19, Theorem 4.5] (resp. Koike-Weng [10, Lemma 1]). These polynomials have rational coefficients as they are fixed by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the polynomials H_{j_l} and $\widehat{H}_{j_1,j_l}$, where l ranges over $\{1,3,5,7,9\}$ in the hyperelliptic case and over $\{1,2,3\}$ in the Picard case, can be used for the CM method for constructing curves over finite fields. See [5, Section 3] as well as [19] (resp. [10]). Note that the class polynomials H_i can be defined for Picard and for hyperelliptic curves. The $\widehat{H}_i$ are the modified Lagrange interpolation of the H_i introduced in [5].

The following is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be as above and let p be a prime number that divides the denominator of a class polynomial H_j or $\widehat{H}_{j,j'}$ with j and j' as above. Then p satisfies the bound of Theorem 1.1.* □

In the Picard curve case, the invariants given here are not optimal. Work in progress of Kılıçer, Lorenzo García and Streng [9] gives an analogue that works for the much better invariants $a_2 a_4/a_3^3$ and a_2^3/a_3^2.

1.1 Applications, further work and open problems

Bad reduction

We believe that the exponent 11 in Theorem 1.1 is far from optimal. For instance, in [2], for the special case of reduction to a product of 3 elliptic curves with K not containing any proper CM subfield, one gets an exponent of 6. In the general case, it should be possible to get smaller exponents using variants of our proof, for example with a different choice of isogeny s in Section 3, or by considering bounds in Section 4 coming not just from the matrix of μ, but also from other elements.

We also believe that it is now possible to combine the proofs in this paper with the techniques of Goren and Lauter [7] to get not only a bound on the primes in the denominator of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, but also a bound on the valuations at those primes. Together, these bounds will give a bound on the denominator itself, which is required if one wants to prove that the output of a class-polynomial-computing algorithm is correct. This was done for genus 2 by Streng [18].

As in the genus 2 case, the resulting bounds will be so large that the algorithm is purely theoretical and cannot be run in practice. However, we view our results as a first step towards a denominator formula such as that of Lauter and Viray [12], which is small and explicit enough for yielding proven-correct CM curves, as shown by Bouyer and Streng [3, 17].

Hyperelliptic reduction

The reason why Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are only for hyperelliptic and Picard curves is that the locus of bad reduction in the compactification of the full moduli space of curves of genus three is of codimension > 1, hence is not the vanishing locus of an invariant. The hyperelliptic locus is, however, of codimension 1, and there is indeed an invariant among the Dixmier-Ohno invariants whose vanishing locus is the locus of hyperelliptic curves and decomposable Jacobians. If an analogue of Theorem 1.1 were to be proven in that situation, then it would be completely straightforward to conclude analogues of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 for general smooth plane quartic CM curves. Kılıçer, Labrande, Ritzenthaler and Streng are currently running numerical experiments to see whether an analogue of Theorem 1.1 is to be expected to hold for hyperelliptic reduction of general smooth plane quartic CM curves. More research is needed in that direction.

1.2 Acknowledgements

We thank Irene Bouw, Bas Edixhoven, Everett Howe, Christophe Ritzenthaler and Chia-Fu Yu for useful discussions and for pointing out some of the references. Part of this work was carried out during visits to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (MPIM), the Istanbul Center for Mathematical Sciences (IMBM) and the University of California, San Diego (UCSD). We are grateful to these institutions for their hospitality and ideal working conditions.

2 Notation and strategy

Let C be a genus 3 curve defined over a number field M and such that its Jacobian $J = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ has complex multiplication by an order $\mathcal{O}$ of a sextic complex multiplication field K. Let us assume that the complex multiplication type (CM type) is primitive. We say that a CM type is primitive if it is not induced from a CM type of a strict CM subfield. We fix a totally imaginary generator $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ of K over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ be a prime of bad reduction for C, and let us assume that it is of good reduction for J. Since J has complex multiplication, it has potentially good reduction at every prime. Therefore, perhaps after a finite extension of the base field, J does indeed have good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\overline{J} = J \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

By Corollary 4.3 in [2], we know that $\overline{J} \cong E \times A$ as principally polarized abelian varieties, where E is an elliptic curve with its natural polarization and A is a principally polarized abelian surface. This includes the case $\overline{J} \cong E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3$, where $A \cong E_2 \times E_3$ is a product of elliptic curves. Let us write $\mathrm{End}(E) = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

We will see that there is an isogeny $s : E^2 \to A$ (which is, in fact, already known by [2, Theorem 4.5]). Once we fix an isogeny s, there are natural embeddings

$$\iota : \mathcal{O} \overset{\iota_0}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{End}(E \times A) \overset{\iota_1}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{End}(E^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Step 1 is to show that for sufficiently large primes p, the entries of $\iota(\mu^2)$ lie in a field $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ of degree ≤ 2 over $\mathbb{Q}$. This is obvious in the case where E is ordinary, and requires work in the supersingular case. As in Goren-Lauter [6], we prove this by bounding the coefficients of $\iota(\mu)$. The main difficulty here was finding an appropriate isogeny s, as not every isogeny s allows us to find bounds.

Step 2 is to show that in the situation of Step 1, the field $\mathcal{B}_1$ embeds into K and the CM type is induced from $\mathcal{B}_1$, which contradicts primitivity of the CM type. In order to show this, we use the tangent space of the Néron model at the zero section. No analogue of Step 2 was needed in the genus 2 case because a quartic CM field containing an imaginary quadratic subfield has no primitive CM types.

The special case of $\overline{J} \cong E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3$ where K does not contain an imaginary quadratic field is the main result of [2].

3 An embedding problem

Throughout Sections 3, 4 and 5, we fix a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of stable bad reduction for C and good reduction for $J = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$. Note that C has stable bad reduction at $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of M if C has bad reduction over any extension of M and any prime lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, the reduction satisfies $\overline{J} \cong E \times A$ for a principally polarized abelian surface A and an elliptic curve E. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{End}(E)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is either a quaternion algebra or a number field of degree ≤ 2.

We write $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ where μ^2 is a totally negative element of $\mathcal{O}$ that generates the totally real subfield K_+ of K.

Let $\iota_0 : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(E \times A)$ be the injective ring homomorphism coming from reduction of J at $\mathfrak{p}$ and write

$$\iota_0(\mu) =: \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where we have $x \in \mathcal{R}$, $y \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, E)$, $z \in \mathrm{Hom}(E, A)$ and $w \in \mathrm{End}(A)$; and the sizes of the boxes reflect

the dimensions of the (co)domains of the homomorphisms. We define a homomorphism

$$s = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} z & wz \end{array}\right) : E \times E \longrightarrow A$$
$$(P, Q) \longmapsto z(P) + wz(Q).$$

We first quickly eliminate the degenerate case where s is not an isogeny.

Lemma 3.1. *The homomorphism s is an isogeny.*

Proof. If z is the zero isogeny, then (2) gives that $x \in \mathcal{B}$ is a root of the minimal polynomial of μ, which is irreducible of degree 6, contradiction. Therefore, $z(E) \subset A$ is an elliptic curve. Now let $E' \subset A$ be an elliptic curve such that

$$s' : E \times E' \to A$$
$$(P, Q) \to z(P) + Q$$

is an isogeny.

It follows that we have an isogeny

$$F' = 1 \times s' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & z & 1 \end{array}\right) : E \times E \times E' \longrightarrow E \times A$$
$$(P, Q, R) \longmapsto (P, s'(Q, R))$$

and hence a further embedding

$$\iota_1' : \mathrm{End}(E \times A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(E \times E \times E') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$
$$f \longmapsto (F')^{-1} f F'.$$

Let $\iota' = \iota_1' \circ \iota_0 : \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{End}(E \times E \times E') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Next, we compute the matrix $\iota'(\mu)$. For the first column, we get

$$(F')^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} x & y \\ \hline z & w \end{array}\right) F' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & * \\ \hline 0 & z & * \end{array}\right)^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ \hline z \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

If s is not an isogeny, then the image of wz lies in $z(E)$, so we get an element $z^{-1}wz \in \mathcal{B}$ and hence

$$\iota'(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} x & * & * \\ 1 & z^{-1}wz & * \\ 0 & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \delta \in \mathrm{End}(E') \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

But then δ is a root of the minimal polynomial of μ, which is a contradiction. □

It follows that we have an isogeny

$$F = 1 \times s = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & z & wz \end{array}\right) : E^3 \longrightarrow E \times A$$
$$(P, Q, R) \longmapsto (P, s(Q, R))$$

and hence a further embedding

$$\iota_1 : \mathrm{End}(E \times A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(E^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathcal{B})$$
$$f \longmapsto F^{-1} f F.$$

Let $\iota = \iota_1 \circ \iota_0 : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathcal{B})$. Let n be a positive integer such that $[n] \cdot \ker(s) = 0$ (later we will choose a specific n). Then there exists an isogeny $\tilde{s} : A \to E \times E$ such that $s \cdot \tilde{s} = [n]$.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\iota(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} x & a & b \\ 1 & 0 & c/n \\ 0 & 1 & d/n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x, a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The first column is already computed in (3), which is also valid with F instead of F'. For the second column, we compute

$$F^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} x & y \\ \hline z & w \end{array}\right) F \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & z & wz \end{array}\right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} * \\ \hline wz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $F^{-1} = 1 \times \frac{1}{n}\tilde{s}$, so all entries of $\iota(\mu)$ are in $\mathcal{R}$ except possibly the bottom two rows, which are in $\frac{1}{n}\mathcal{R}$. □

4 Bounds on the coefficients

Our goal in this section is to prove the following.

Proposition 4.1. *If* $p > B^{11}$*, then the image* $\iota(\mathcal{O})$ *is inside the ring of* 3×3 *matrices over a field* $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ *of degree* ≤ 2.

If E is ordinary, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a quadratic field. So in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we assume that E is supersingular. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is $B_{p,\infty}$, the quaternion algebra ramified only at p and ∞. Let Tr and N denote the *reduced* trace and norm on $\mathcal{B}$, and let $\cdot^\vee$ denote (quaternion) conjugation, so for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\mathrm{N}(x) = xx^\vee = x^\vee x$, $\mathrm{Tr}(x) = x + x^\vee$, and $x^2 - \mathrm{Tr}(x)x + \mathrm{N}(x) = 0$.

The following result shows that quaternion order elements of small norm commute. We will use this to prove Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 4.2 (Goren and Lauter)**.** *Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be an order in the quaternion algebra* $B_{p,\infty}$ *and* $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$*. If* $\mathrm{N}(x)\mathrm{N}(y) < p/4$*, then* x *and* y *commute.*

Proof. We give the main idea for completeness. For details, see Lemma 2.1.1 and Corollary 2.1.2 of Goren and Lauter [6] and the proof of Lemma 9.5 of Streng [18].

If x and y do not commute, then 1, x, y, xy span a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L \subset \mathcal{R} \subset B_{p,\infty}$ of covolume $4\mathrm{N}(x)\mathrm{N}(y)$, while $\mathcal{R}$ is contained in a maximal order of covolume p. This is a contradiction if $\mathrm{N}(x)\mathrm{N}(y) < p/4$. □

Recall that $\overline{J} \cong E \times A$ as principally polarized abelian varieties, where $A = (A, \lambda_A)$ is a principally polarized abelian surface. In other words, the natural polarization on $\overline{J}$ corresponds to the product polarization $1 \times \lambda_A$.

Lemma 4.3. *The polarization induced by* $1 \times \lambda_A$ *on* E^3 *via the isogeny* F *is*

$$\lambda := F^\vee(1 \times \lambda_A)F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \beta^\vee & \gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *and* $\beta \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that* $n := \alpha\gamma - \beta\beta^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*. Here,* $F^\vee$ *denotes the dual isogeny.*

Moreover, we have $GF = [n]$ *for some isogeny* G*, and therefore* $n \ker(F) = 0$.

Proof. The first column and row of λ are easy to compute. The symmetry (i.e., $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the occurrence of $\beta^\vee$) is Mumford [14, (3) on page 190] (equivalently the first part of Application III on page 208 of loc. cit.). The positive-definiteness (which implies $\alpha, \gamma, n > 0$) is the last paragraph of Application III on page 210 of loc. cit.).

It is now straightforward to compute $GF = [n]$ for

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & -\beta \\ 0 & -\beta^\vee & \alpha \end{pmatrix} F^\vee(1 \times \lambda_A).$$

It follows that the kernel of F is contained in the kernel of $[n]$. □

From now on, take n as in Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.4 (Proposition 4.8 in [2]). *For every $\eta \in K$, the complex conjugate $\overline{\eta} \in K$ satisfies*

$$\iota(\overline{\eta}) = \lambda^{-1}\iota(\eta)^\vee\lambda,$$

where for a matrix M, we use $M^\vee$ to denote the transpose of M with conjugate entries.

Proof. Complex conjugation is the Rosati involution, so $\iota_0(\overline{\eta}) = (1 \times \lambda_A)^{-1}\iota_0(\eta)^\vee(1 \times \lambda_A)$. Conjugation with F now yields exactly the equality in the lemma. □

For $\eta = \mu$, Lemma 4.4 reads $-\lambda\iota(\mu) = \iota(\mu)^\vee\lambda$, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x & -a & -b \\ -\alpha & -\beta & -\alpha c/n - \beta d/n \\ -\beta^\vee & -\gamma & -\beta^\vee c/n - \gamma d/n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^\vee & \alpha & \beta \\ a^\vee & \beta^\vee & \gamma \\ b^\vee & (c^\vee/n)\alpha + (d^\vee/n)\beta^\vee & (c^\vee/n)\beta + (d^\vee/n)\gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} x^\vee &= -x \qquad (\text{equivalently } \mathrm{Tr}(x) = 0), \\ a &= -\alpha \qquad (\text{and we already knew } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ b &= -\beta = \beta^\vee \qquad (\text{hence } \mathrm{Tr}(\beta) = 0), \\ \gamma &= -\alpha c/n - \beta d/n \qquad (\text{and we already knew } \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ \mathrm{Tr}(\beta^\vee c) + \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma d) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 4.5 (Lemma 6.12 in [2]). *For $\eta \in K$, the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta)$ is equal to the sum of the reduced traces of the three diagonal entries of $\iota(\eta) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. Choose a prime $l \nmid np$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta)$ equals the trace of η when acting on $T_l(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, where $T_l(J)$ is the l-adic Tate module of J. This action is preserved by reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, the isogeny F induces an isomorphism of l-adic Tate modules, hence $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta)$ equals the trace of $\iota(\eta)$ when acting on $T_l(E \times E \times E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The latter trace is exactly the sum of the traces of the actions of the diagonal entries of $\iota(\eta)$ on $T_l(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which are the reduced traces. □

Remark 4.6. *Lemma 6.12 in [2] follows from a special case of Lemma 4.5 in which η is an element of the totally real cubic subfield K_+ of K and the diagonal entries of $\iota(\eta)$ are integers.*

Since both $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ are 0, Lemma 4.5 applied to μ gives

$$\mathrm{Tr}(d) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Let $B = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then Lemma 4.5 applied to μ^2 gives

$$B \geq -\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr}(x^2) + 2\mathrm{Tr}(a) + 2\mathrm{Tr}(c/n) + \mathrm{Tr}(d^2/n^2)\right)$$

which by (4) and (5) is

$$= \mathrm{N}(x) + 2\alpha + 2\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\beta d}{n\alpha}\Big) + \mathrm{N}\Big(\frac{d}{n}\Big).$$

If we manage to rewrite this as a sum of terms that are all non-negative, then this bounds the individual terms from above by B.

Note that we recognize the final two terms as terms in the expansion

$$\mathrm{N}\Big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{d^\vee}{n}\Big) = \frac{\mathrm{N}(\beta)}{\alpha^2} + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\beta d}{\alpha n}\Big) + \mathrm{N}\Big(\frac{d}{n}\Big),$$

so we get

$$B \geq \mathrm{N}(x) + 2\alpha + 2\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{\mathrm{N}(\beta)}{\alpha^2} + \mathrm{N}\Big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{d^\vee}{n}\Big).$$

Next, we have $n = \alpha\gamma - \mathrm{N}(\beta)$, so $n/\alpha^2 = \gamma/\alpha - \mathrm{N}(\beta)/\alpha^2$, which again allows us to replace two terms, and get

$$B \geq \mathrm{N}(x) + 2\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + \frac{n}{\alpha^2} + \mathrm{N}\Big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{d^\vee}{n}\Big), \tag{6}$$

in which finally all terms are non-negative. We immediately get that each of the individual terms is at most B. So, for example,

$$\mathrm{N}(x) \leq B, \quad 2\alpha \leq B, \quad \gamma/\alpha \leq B.$$

Therefore, we also get

$$\mathrm{N}(\beta)/\alpha^2 = \frac{\alpha\gamma - n}{\alpha^2} \leq \gamma/\alpha \leq B. \tag{7}$$

In order to apply Lemma 4.2, we still need to bound $\mathrm{N}(c)$ and $\mathrm{N}(d)$. For this, we use the following (in)equalities.

Lemma 4.7. *For all $e, f \in \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$N(e+f) + N(e-f) = 2(N(e) + N(f)).$$

Proof. This follows from writing it out. The cross terms cancel on the left-hand side and do not appear on the right-hand side. □

Corollary 4.8. *For all $g, f \in \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$N(g) \leq 2(N(g+f) + N(f)).$$

Proof. From the lemma, we have $\mathrm{N}(e-f) \leq 2(\mathrm{N}(e) + \mathrm{N}(f))$, which we apply to $e = g + f$. □

The Corollary, with (6) and (7), now gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{N}(d^\vee/n) &\leq 2\mathrm{N}(\beta)/\alpha^2 + 2\mathrm{N}(\beta/\alpha + d^\vee/n) \\ &\leq 2(\gamma/\alpha + \mathrm{N}(\beta/\alpha + d^\vee/n)) \leq 2B.\end{aligned}$$

As we also have

$$n \leq \alpha\gamma \leq \alpha^2 B \leq \frac{1}{4}B^3, \tag{8}$$

this gives

$$\mathrm{N}(d^\vee) = n^2\mathrm{N}(d^\vee/n) \leq \frac{1}{8}B^7.$$

Next, by (4) we have $c = -\frac{n\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{\beta d}{\alpha}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{N}(c) &\leq 2\mathrm{N}(\frac{n\gamma}{\alpha}) + 2\mathrm{N}(\frac{\beta d}{\alpha}) \\ &= 2(\alpha^2\frac{n}{\alpha^2}\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^2 + 2(\mathrm{N}(\beta)/\alpha^2)\mathrm{N}(d) \\ &\leq 2(\frac{1}{4}B^2 BB)^2 + 2B\frac{1}{8}B^7 \\ &= \frac{3}{8}B^8.\end{aligned}$$

We now get the following weak version of Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.9. *If $p > 4B^{15}$, then the image $\iota(\mathcal{O})$ is inside the ring of 3×3 matrices over a field $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ of degree ≤ 2 over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the entries of $\iota(\mu)$ commute. We have $\mathrm{N}(x) \leq B$, $\mathrm{N}(b) \leq B^3$, $\mathrm{N}(d) \leq B^7$, $\mathrm{N}(c) \leq B^8$, hence the product of any pair of distinct elements of $\{x, b, c, d\}$ has norm less than $p/4$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, every pair of elements commutes. Also $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Proposition 4.9 is good enough to lead to a version of Theorem 1.1 with exponent 15 instead of 11. However, we can change the argument slightly in order to improve the bounds as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *If $p > B^{11}$, then the image $\iota(\mathcal{O})$ is inside the ring of 3×3 matrices over a field $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ of degree ≤ 2.*

Proof. Case 1: $\beta = 0$. In this case, note that from (4) we get $b = 0$ and $-\alpha c/n = \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, so all entries of $\iota(\mu)$ are in $\mathbb{Q}$ except possibly x and d/n. However, as soon as $p/4 > (1/8)B^7 \cdot B$, these two elements of $\mathcal{B}$ lie in a field $\mathcal{B}_1$ (by Lemma 4.2 applied to d and x).

Case 2: $\beta \neq 0$. In this case, as $\mathrm{Tr}(\beta) = 0$, we find that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ is quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, it suffices to show that x, c and d all commute with β. Now $\max\{\mathrm{N}(x), \mathrm{N}(c), \mathrm{N}(d)\} \leq B^8$ and $\mathrm{N}(\beta) \leq \frac{1}{4}B^3$, so as soon as $p/4 > (1/4)B^{11}$, we get the result by Lemma 4.2. □

If $p > B^{11}$, then $\iota(\mu)$ is a matrix over $\mathcal{B}_1$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of μ over $\mathbb{Q}$, which has degree 6. Then $f(\iota(\mu)) = 0$, hence f is divisible by the (at most cubic) minimal polynomial of $\iota(\mu)$ over the (at most quadratic) field $\mathcal{B}_1$. Therefore, the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ contains a subfield isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ is quadratic. We now identify $\mathcal{B}_1$ with this subfield through a choice of embedding.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case where K does not contain an imaginary quadratic subfield.

5 If the CM field contains an imaginary quadratic subfield

In this section, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. By the argument at the end of the previous section, we are left with the case where $\iota(\mu)$ has entries in the imaginary quadratic subfield K_1 of K. We have identified K_1 with the subfield $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ of Proposition 4.1 through a choice of embedding.

We fix a prime $p > B^{11}$ where B is as in the previous section. Recall that the curve C was defined over a number field which was assumed to be large enough such that $J = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ has good reduction at every prime above p. We now replace this number field by a finite extension M such that M contains the images of all embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \overline{M}$. We assume that C has stable bad reduction at a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of M.

Recall that the CM type is primitive, hence is not induced by a CM type of $\mathcal{B}_1$. This means that the CM type induces two distinct embeddings of $\mathcal{B}_1$ into M. This primitivity will play a crucial role in our proof of Theorem 1.1. We will need to be able to distinguish between the two embeddings in characteristic p, hence the need for the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $B = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2)$ and suppose that $p > B^{7.5}$. Then $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ coprime to p such that $\sqrt{-\delta} \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1$.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma with $\delta = -\Delta(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1)$. Then $\sqrt{-\delta} \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ since $\mathcal{B}_1$ is imaginary quadratic. We must show that δ is coprime to p. Note that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1) = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_1} : \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1]^2 \Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}$$

so it will suffice to prove that both $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_1} : \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1]$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}$ are coprime to p, which we do by showing that they are smaller than $B^{7.5}$ in absolute value.

Let $a \geq b \geq c \geq 0$ be such that the images of μ for the embeddings $K \to \mathbb{C}$ are $\{\pm ai, \pm bi, \pm ci\}$, so $B = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{O} : \mathbb{Z}[\mu]]^2 [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]^2 |\Delta_K| &= |\Delta(\mathbb{Z}[\mu])| \\
&= (2a)^2(2b)^2(2c)^2(a-b)^4(a+b)^4(a-c)^4(a+c)^4(b-c)^4(b+c)^4 \\
&= 2^6 a^2 b^2 c^2 (a^2-b^2)^4 (a^2-c^2)^4 (b^2-c^2)^4,
\end{aligned}$$

which, by the inequality between the arithmetic and the geometric mean, is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^6 \left(\frac{a^2+b^2+c^2}{3}\right)^3 \left(\frac{a^2-b^2+a^2-c^2+b^2-c^2}{3}\right)^{12} \\
&\leq 2^{6+12} 3^{-(3+12)} B^{15} \leq 0.019 B^{15}. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_1}}{\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1} \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathcal{O}}$, by (9) we get

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_1} : \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1]^2 \leq [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]^2 < 0.019 B^{15}$$

which gives $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_1} : \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{B}_1] < 0.14B^{7.5}$, as desired.

Now for $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}$, we use the tower law for discriminants and (9) to get

$$|\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}|^3 \leq |\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}^3 N_{\mathcal{B}_1/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{K/\mathcal{B}_1})| = |\Delta_K| \leq 0.019B^{15}.$$

Hence, $|\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_1}| < 0.27B^5 < B^{7.5}$ and our proof is complete. □

5.1 Some facts about tangent spaces

In order to detect the CM type (and its all-important primitivity), we will use the tangent space to $J = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ at the identity. We collect here some definitions and facts about tangent spaces which will be needed for our discussion. We will use the definition of tangent space as given in [4] in the special case of a scheme over an affine base scheme. This requires the use of the ring of dual numbers.

Definition 5.2. *For any commutative ring R, let $R[\epsilon]$ denote the R-algebra of dual numbers over R. It is free with basis $1, \epsilon$ as an R-module and the R-algebra structure comes from setting $\epsilon^2 = 0$.*

The natural inclusion $R \hookrightarrow R[\epsilon]$ and the natural map $R[\epsilon] \to R$ which sends $\epsilon \mapsto 0$ induce respectively the structure morphism $\rho : \mathrm{Spec}(R[\epsilon]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and a section $\sigma : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R[\epsilon])$ called the zero section.

Let $X \to S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a morphism of schemes and let $u \in X(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_S(S, X)$. In [4], Demazure defines a commutative S-group scheme called the tangent space of X/S at u. We will denote the tangent space of X/S at u by $T^u_{X/S}$. For a commutative R-algebra R', the set $T^u_{X/S}(R')$ is the collection of S-morphisms $\theta : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to X$ such that $\theta \circ \sigma = u \circ t$, where $\sigma : \mathrm{Spec}(R') \to \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon])$ is the zero section and $t : \mathrm{Spec}(R') \to S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is the structure map. In other words, $T^u_{X/S}(R')$ is the collection of S-morphisms θ making the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R') & \xrightarrow[t]{} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \end{array}$$

We now gather some general facts about tangent spaces that we will need in our discussion.

Proposition 5.3. *The set $T^u_{X/S}(R')$ has a canonical R'-module structure. The zero element is the map $u \circ t \circ \rho$ where $\rho : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R')$ denotes the structure morphism.*

Proof. This is a slight generalization of Lemma 32.16.2 in [1, Tag 0B2B]. The proof is the same; we recall the main ingredients here for the reader's convenience. We have a pushout in the category of schemes

$$\mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \sqcup_{\mathrm{Spec}(R')} \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) = \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2])$$

where $R'[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$ is the R'-algebra with basis $1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ and $\epsilon_1^2 = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2^2 = 0$. Given two S-morphisms $\theta_1, \theta_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to X$, we construct an S-morphism

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]) \xrightarrow{\theta_1, \theta_2} X$$

where the first arrow is given by $\epsilon_i \mapsto \epsilon$. Now for scalar multiplication, given $\lambda \in R'$ there is a selfmap of $\mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon])$ corresponding to the R'-algebra endomorphism of $R'[\epsilon]$ which sends ϵ to $\lambda\epsilon$. Precomposing $\theta : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to X$ with this selfmap gives $\lambda \cdot \theta$. The axioms of a vector space are verified by exhibiting suitable commutative diagrams of schemes. The statement about the zero element follows immediately from the description of the addition law. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let v be the compositum $v : \mathrm{Spec}(R') \xrightarrow{t} S \xrightarrow{u} X$. Then there is an isomorphism of R'-modules*

$$T^u_{X/S}(R') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R'}(v^*(\Omega^1_{X/S}), R'),$$

where $\Omega^1_{X/S}$ denotes the sheaf of relative differentials of X/S.

Proof. See Remark 3.6.1 and footnote (25) in [4]. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let X and Y be schemes over S and let $f : X \to Y$ be an S-morphism. Then f induces an S-morphism $T(f) : T^u_{X/S} \to T^{f\circ u}_{Y/S}$, called the derived morphism, with the following properties:*

1. *$T(f \circ g) = T(f) \circ T(g)$;*
2. *$T(f)$ induces an R'-module homomorphism $T^u_{X/S}(R') \to T^{f\circ u}_{Y/S}(R')$.*

Furthermore, suppose that G is a group scheme over S with identity section e and $n_G : G \to G$ is the S-morphism $g \to g^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the derived morphism $T(n_G) : T^e_{G/S} \to T^e_{G/S}$ is multiplication by n, meaning it sends $x \in T^e_{G/S}(R')$ to nx.

Proof. See [4], Proposition 3.7. bis. and Corollaire 3.9.4. If $\theta : \mathrm{Spec}(R'[\epsilon]) \to X$ is an element of $T^u_{X/S}(R')$, then $T(f)$ sends θ to $f \circ \theta$. This clearly preserves the R'-module structure described in Proposition 5.3. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let X and Y be schemes over S. Then*

$$T^u_{X/S} \times_S T^w_{Y/S} \cong T^{(u,w)}_{(X\times_S Y)/S}.$$

Proof. See Proposition 3.8 in [4]. □

5.2 The proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we will apply these general facts about tangent spaces to our specific case. We want to relate the tangent space of J at the identity to the tangent space of its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ at the identity. For this we will use the tangent space at the identity section of a Néron model of J/M.

Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ be the valuation ring of $\mathfrak{p}$ and let $\mathfrak{K} = \mathcal{O}_M/\mathfrak{p}$ be the residue field. Let $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ be a Néron model for J/M and let $\overline{J}/\mathfrak{K}$ be the special fibre of $\mathcal{J}$. Let $\tilde{e} : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}) \to \mathcal{J}$, $e : \mathrm{Spec}(M) \to J$ and $e_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{K}) \to \overline{J}$ be the identity sections of $\mathcal{J}$, J and $\overline{J}$ respectively.

Lemma 5.7. *The $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$-module $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$ is free of rank 3. Furthermore, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$T^e_{J/M}(M) \cong T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}} M \tag{10}$$

and

$$T^{e_0}_{\overline{J}/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K}) \cong T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{K} \tag{11}$$

as vector spaces over M and $\mathfrak{K}$ respectively. Moreover, the isomorphisms (10) *and* (11) *respect the action of $T(f)$ for $f \in \mathrm{End}_M(J) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{J})$.*

Proof. By [13, Proposition 6.2.5], $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}$ is free of rank 3 in a neighborhood of the image of e_0. Note that any such neighborhood contains the image of $\tilde{e}$. Therefore, $\tilde{e}^*(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$-module of rank 3. Now Proposition 5.4 implies that the same is true of $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$. Likewise, $T^e_{J/M}(M)$ and $T^{e_0}_{\overline{J}/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$ are vector spaces of dimension 3 over M and $\mathfrak{K}$, respectively.

We have canonical identifications $T^e_{J/M}(M) = T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ and $T^{e_0}_{\overline{J}/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K}) = T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{K})$. Let $F \in \{M, \mathfrak{K}\}$ and let $t : \mathrm{Spec}(F[\epsilon]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[\epsilon])$ be the natural map. Then precomposing an element $\theta \in T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$ with t gives an element of $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(F)$. The $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$-bilinear map $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}) \times F \to T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(F)$ given by $(\theta, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda \cdot (\theta \circ t)$ induces a homomorphism of F-vector spaces

$$T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}} F \to T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(F).$$

One can check that this map is injective using the description of the zero element given in Proposition 5.3. Surjectivity follows by comparing dimensions. Finally, the action of $T(f)$, as described in Proposition 5.5, is clearly preserved. □

The main ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following proposition.

Proposition 5.8. *Let B, p and δ be as in Lemma 5.1, with the additional assumption that p is odd. Then there is an invertible matrix $P \in \mathrm{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathcal{B}_1)$ such that*

$$P\iota(\sqrt{-\delta})P^{-1} = \pm\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-\delta} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \sqrt{-\delta} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-\delta}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, reduction at a prime above $p > B^{11}$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(E^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Mat}_3(\mathcal{B})$ with image contained in $\mathrm{Mat}_3(\mathcal{B}_1)$, the ring of 3×3 matrices over $\mathcal{B}_1$. Since $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta})^2 = -\delta I_3$ and $\sqrt{-\delta} \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we can take a change of basis over $\mathcal{B}_1$ to diagonalise the matrix $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta})$. Moreover, the eigenvalues of $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta})$ are in $\{\pm\sqrt{-\delta}\}$. It suffices to show that $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta})$ has two distinct eigenvalues, i.e. $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta}) \neq \pm\sqrt{-\delta} I_3$. For this we will use the primitivity of the CM type. In order to detect the CM type, we will use the tangent space to $J = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ at the identity.

By the Néron mapping property, $\sqrt{-\delta}$ has a unique extension to an $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$-endomorphism of the Néron model $\mathcal{J}$, which we will denote by φ. Applying Proposition 5.5, we get an endomorphism $T(\varphi)$ of $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}$ which induces an $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$-linear endomorphism of $T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$. By the definition of primitivity of the CM type, the action of $T(\varphi)$ on $T^{e}_{J/M}(M)$ has two distinct eigenvalues, $\sqrt{-\delta}$ and $-\sqrt{-\delta} \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$. By Lemma 5.7, there exist $\theta_+, \theta_- \in T^{\tilde{e}}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$ such that $T(\varphi)(\theta_+) = \sqrt{-\delta}\theta_+$ and $T(\varphi)(\theta_-) = -\sqrt{-\delta}\theta_-$. By Lemma 5.1, the two eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{-\delta}$ remain distinct in the residue field $\mathfrak{K}$, which has characteristic p. Therefore, applying Lemma 5.7 again, we see that the action of $T(\varphi)$ on $T^{e_0}_{\overline{J}/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$ has two distinct eigenvalues.

Now, let the isogeny $F : E^3 \to \overline{J}$ be as in Sections 3 and 4. By Lemma 4.3, there is an integer $n > 0$ and an isogeny $G : \overline{J} \to E^3$ such that GF is multiplication by n on E^3. Then $\iota(\sqrt{-\delta}) = n^{-1}G\overline{\varphi}F$, where $\overline{\varphi}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{K}$-endomorphism of $\overline{J}$ induced by φ. Recall from (8) in Section 4 that $n \leq \frac{B^3}{4} < B^{11} < p$. Therefore, n is invertible in $\mathfrak{K}$ and Proposition 5.5 gives

$$T(G\overline{\varphi}F) = T(G)\circ T(\varphi)\circ T(F) = nn^{-1}T(G)\circ T(\varphi)\circ T(F) = nT(F)^{-1}\circ T(\varphi)\circ T(F).$$

The right-hand side is n times a conjugate of $T(\varphi)$, whereby its eigenvalues in $\overline{\mathfrak{K}}$ are n times those of $T(\varphi)$. Therefore, $T(G\overline{\varphi}F)$ has two distinct eigenvalues in $\mathfrak{K}$ for its action on the tangent space $T^0_{E^3/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$ of E^3 at the identity. By Proposition 5.6,

$$T^0_{E^3/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K}) \cong T^0_{E/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus T^0_{E/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K}) \oplus T^0_{E/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$$

where $T^0_{E/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the tangent space of E at the identity. Consequently, the supposition that $n\iota(\sqrt{-\delta}) = \pm n\sqrt{-\delta} I_3$ gives an immediate contradiction to the fact that the action of $T(G\overline{\varphi}F)$ on $T^0_{E^3/\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{K})$ has two distinct eigenvalues. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Proposition 5.8, we see that since μ commutes with $\sqrt{-\delta}$, the matrix $P\iota(\mu)P^{-1}$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}* & * & 0\\ * & * & 0\\ 0 & 0 & *\end{pmatrix}.$$

But this means that the bottom right entry of $P\iota(\mu)P^{-1}$ is a root of the (irreducible degree six) minimal polynomial of μ over $\mathbb{Q}$. This gives a contradiction because the entries of the matrix $P\iota(\mu)P^{-1}$ lie in the quadratic field $\mathcal{B}_1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

6 Geometry of numbers

The following is a reformulation and proof of Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order in a sextic CM field K, and let K_+ denote the totally real cubic subfield of K.*

1. *If K contains no imaginary quadratic subfield, then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ and μ^2 is a totally negative element in K_+ satisfying $0 < -\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq (\frac{6}{\pi})^{2/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/3}$.*

2. *If K contains an imaginary quadratic subfield K_1, we write $\mathcal{O}_i = K_i \cap \mathcal{O}$ for $i \in \{1, +\}$. Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ and μ^2 is a totally negative element in K_+ satisfying $0 < -\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq 3|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)||\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/2}$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ be the set of infinite primes of the sextic CM field K, that is, the set of embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$ up to complex conjugation. We identify $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{C}^3$ via the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra isomorphism $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^3 : x \otimes a \mapsto (a\phi_1(x), a\phi_2(x), a\phi_3(x))$.
1. The order $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ is a lattice of co-volume $2^{-3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$. We choose a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\mathcal{C}_R = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |\mathrm{Re}(x_i)| < 1 \text{ for all } i, \quad \sum_i \mathrm{Im}(x_i)^2 < R^2\}.$$

Next, we claim that if $R = (\frac{3}{4\pi})^{1/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/6} + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a non-zero $\gamma \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{C}_R$ such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$.

Indeed, suppose that $R = (\frac{3}{4\pi})^{1/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/6} + \epsilon$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{C}_R) = 2^3(\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3) > 2^3|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/2} = 2^6\mathrm{covol}(\mathcal{O}).$$

By Minkowski's first convex body theorem (see Siegel [16, Theorem 10]), there is a non-zero element γ in $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{C}_R$. If $\gamma \in K_+$, then we have $|\mathrm{N}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)| = \prod_{\phi_i \in \Phi} |\mathrm{Re}(\phi_i(\gamma))| < 1$, so we get $\gamma = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\gamma \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{C}_R$ and $\gamma \notin K_+$. This proves the claim as K has no subfields besides K, K_+ and $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mu = \gamma - \overline{\gamma}$. Then μ^2 is a totally negative element in K_+, whence $\mathbb{Q}(\mu) = K$. We get

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) = -\sum_i \phi_i(\mu^2) = -\sum_{\phi_i \in \Phi} \phi_i((\gamma - \overline{\gamma})^2) = 4\sum_i \mathrm{Im}(\phi_i(\gamma))^2 < 4R^2.$$

Since γ is an algebraic integer in K, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$. So when we let ϵ tend to 0, we get $-\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq 4(\frac{3}{4\pi})^{2/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/3} = (\frac{6}{\pi})^{2/3}|\Delta(\mathcal{O})|^{1/3}$.
2. The order $\mathcal{O}_+ \subset K_+$ is a lattice of co-volume $|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We choose a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\mathcal{C}_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x_1| < 1, |x_2| < R, |x_3| < R\}.$$

Next, we claim that if $R = |\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/4} + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a non-zero $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_+ \cap \mathcal{C}_R$ such that $\gamma \in K_+ \smallsetminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Indeed, we then have $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{C}_R) = 2^3R^2 > 2^3|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/2} = 2^3\mathrm{covol}(\mathcal{O}_+)$. By Minkowski's first convex body theorem (see Siegel [16, Theorem 10]), there is a non-zero element γ in $\mathcal{O}_+ \cap \mathcal{C}_R$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, but $|\gamma| < 1$, so we get $\gamma = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_+ \cap \mathcal{C}_R$ and $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This proves the claim.

Let $\mu = \sqrt{\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)}\gamma$. Then μ^2 is a totally negative element in K_+. We get

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) = |\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)|\sum_i \phi_i(\gamma^2) \leq |\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)|(1 + 2R^2).$$

Since γ is an algebraic integer in K_+, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$. So when we let ϵ tend to 0, we get $-\mathrm{Tr}_{K_+/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu^2) \leq |\Delta(\mathcal{O}_1)|(1 + 2|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|^{1/2})$. Since $|\Delta(\mathcal{O}_+)|$ is a positive integer, the result follows. □

7 Invariants

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Let $j = \frac{u}{\Delta^l}$ be as in that theorem: a quotient of invariants of a genus 3 hyperelliptic (resp. Picard) curve $y^2 = F(x, 1)$ (resp. $y^3 = f(x)$) defined over M, where Δ is the discriminant of the binary octic homogenization F of f (resp. f itself) and u is an invariant of degree $56l$ (resp. $12l$).

Theorem 7.1. *In the situation above, if j has negative valuation at $\mathfrak{p}$, then C has stable bad reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$ over a finite extension of the base field.*

To prove Theorem 7.1, we treat the cases of hyperelliptic and Picard curves separately.

Proposition 7.2 (Example 10.1.26 in [13]). *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions M and residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ with $char(\mathfrak{K}) \neq 2$. Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 1$ over M defined by an affine equation*

$$y^2 = P(x),\ P(x) \in M[x],$$

with $P(x)$ separable. Then C has good reduction if and only if after a suitable change of variables (Corollary 7.4.33 in [13]) we can define C by an equation as above with $P(x) \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[x]$ such that its image in $\mathfrak{K}[x]$ is separable of degree $2g+1$ or $2g+2$. □

The following proposition, even if not stated in this way, comes from sections 7.3, 7.4 and 7.7 in [8].

Proposition 7.3. *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions M and residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ with $char(\mathfrak{K}) \neq 3$. Let C be a Picard curve over M defined by an affine equation*

$$y^3 = P(x),\ P(x) \in M[x],$$

with $P(x)$ of degree 4 and separable. Then C has good reduction if and only if after a suitable change of variables (see after Remark 7.7 in [8]) we can define C by an equation as above with $P(x) \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[x]$ such that its image in $\mathfrak{K}[x]$ is separable of degree 4.

If $char(\mathfrak{K}) \notin \{2, 3\}$, then the same holds with $P(x)$ of the form $P(x) = x^4 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Suppose that C has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$, possibly after extending M. Take a model $y^* = P(x)$ with $P(x) \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}[x]$ separable over $\mathfrak{K}$ as in Proposition 7.2 or 7.3. Then $j(C) = \frac{u(P)}{\Delta(P)^l}$ has non-negative valuation at $\mathfrak{p}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In the situation of Theorem 1.3, we showed in Theorem 7.1 that the curve has stable bad reduction, hence Theorem 1.1 applies. □

References

[1] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks project. `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2016.

[2] Irene Bouw, Jenny Cooley, Kristin E. Lauter, Elisa Lorenzo García, Michelle Manes, Rachel Newton, and Ekin Ozman. Bad reduction of genus 3 curves with complex multiplication. Women in Numbers Europe, Research Directions in Number Theory, Association for Women in Mathematics Series Volume 2, Springer, 2015.

[3] Florian Bouyer and Marco Streng. Examples of CM curves of genus two defined over the reflex field. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 18(1):507–538, 2015.

[4] Michel Demazure. Fibrés tangents, algèbres de Lie. In *Schémas en Groupes (Sém. Géométrie Algébrique, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 1963), Fasc. 1, Exposé 2*, page 40. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Paris, 1963.

[5] Pierrick Gaudry, Thomas Houtmann, David Kohel, Christophe Ritzenthaler, and Annegret Weng. The 2-adic CM method for genus 2 curves with application to cryptography. In *Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2006*, volume 4284 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 114–129. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[6] Eyal Z. Goren and Kristin E. Lauter. Class invariants for quartic CM fields. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 57(2):457–480, 2007.

[7] Eyal Z. Goren and Kristin E. Lauter. Genus 2 curves with complex multiplication. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):1068–1142, 2012.

[8] Rolf-Peter Holzapfel. *The ball and some Hilbert problems.* Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995. Appendix I by J. Estrada Sarlabous.

[9] Pınar Kılıçer, Elisa Lorenzo García, and Marco Streng. On Picard curves with complex multiplication. *In progress.*

[10] Kenji Koike and Annegret Weng. Construction of CM Picard curves. *Math. Comp.*, 74(249):499–518 (electronic), 2005.

[11] Serge Lang. *Complex multiplication*, volume 255 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[12] Kristin Lauter and Bianca Viray. An arithmetic intersection formula for denominators of Igusa class polynomials. *Amer. J. Math.*, 137(2):497–533, 2015.

[13] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[14] David Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, volume 5 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition.

[15] Tetsuji Shioda. On the graded ring of invariants of binary octavics. *Amer. J. Math.*, 89:1022–1046, 1967.

[16] Carl Ludwig Siegel. *Lectures on the geometry of numbers*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Notes by B. Friedman, Rewritten by Komaravolu Chandrasekharan with the assistance of Rudolf Suter, With a preface by Chandrasekharan.

[17] Marco Streng. RECIP – REpository of Complex multIPlication sage code. `http://pub.math.leidenuniv.nl/~strengtc/recip/`.

[18] Marco Streng. Computing Igusa class polynomials. *Math. Comp.*, 83(285):275–309, 2014.

[19] Annegret Weng. A class of hyperelliptic CM-curves of genus three. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 16(4):339–372, 2001.